

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/558,622	03/12/2007	Alessandro Caprara	024931-00042	6707
4372 7590 01/19/2011 ARENT FOX LIE 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			EXAMINER	
			PARVEZ, AZM A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
······································	, , DC 20050		3729	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/19/2011	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DCIPDocket@arentfox.com IPMatters@arentfox.com Patent Mail@arentfox.com

# Application No. Applicant(s) CAPRARA ET AL. 10/558.622 Office Action Summary

Office Action Cammary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	AZM PARVEZ	3729						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.18 after SIX (f) MONITH'S from the mailing date of this communication. If the second of the second of the communication of the second of the secon	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I.  ely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 No.	ovember 2010							
·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
·	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s)is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
<ul> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.</li> </ul>	lastian requirement							
o) Claim(s) 1-13 are subject to restriction and/or e	section requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		-(d) or (f).						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>								
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li></ol>								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau	1 11							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.						
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite						

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	<ol> <li>Notice of Informal Patent Application</li> </ol>	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	
Tapor Hoto Main Ballo	o, 🗀 o	

Application/Control Number: 10/558,622 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

### DETAILED ACTION

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-13, drawn to 'an aspirating roller for transferring labels'.

Group II, claim(s) 14, drawn to 'a method for removing an elastically deformable plate like element'.

Group III, claim(s) 15, drawn to 'a method for mounting an elastically deformable platelike element'

- 2. The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the common special technical feature in all groups is a transfer roller. This element cannot be a special technical feature under PCT rule 13.2 because this element is shown in the prior art. Applicant's admitted prior art teaches a transfer roller (see specification; page 1; line 5-6).
- Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Application/Control Number: 10/558,622

Art Unit: 3729

requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof. Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/558,622

Art Unit: 3729

5. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.
All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/558,622

Art Unit: 3729

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AZM PARVEZ whose telephone number is (571)270-1391. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:30 / Alt Fri day off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, DERRIS BANKS can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AZM PARVEZ Examiner Art Unit 3729

/Derris H Banks/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3729